

# APPROXIMATION by POLYNOMIALS in a WIEGHTED SPACE of INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS.

P. V. FEDOTOVA, I. Kh. Musin

ABSTRACT. The density of polynomials in a weighted space of infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is proved under minimal conditions on weight functions and on differences between weight functions. We apply this result for description of strong dual for weighted spaces of infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and weighted spaces of sequences of infinitely differentiable functions  $\mathbb{R}$  in terms of the Fourier-Laplace transform of functionals.

1. *On approximation by polynomials.* Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be a family of continuous functions  $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- 1).  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_m(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 2).  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_m(x) - \varphi_{m+1}(x)) = +\infty$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m) = \{f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) : q_m(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{|D^\alpha f(x)|}{\exp(\varphi_m(x))} < \infty\}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{m+1}) \subset \mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$ .

Set  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$ . Endow  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  with the topology of projective limit of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$ . Clearly, the topology of  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  can be defined by the family of norms

$$q_{p,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq p} \frac{|D^\alpha f(x)|}{\exp(\varphi_m(x))}, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi), p \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{m+1})$  is completely continuously embedded into the space  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$  [1]. So  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  is an  $(M^*)$ -space [2].

Under additional assumptions on weight functions  $\varphi_m$  the space  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  was considered from various points of view by L. Ehrenpreis [3], B.A. Taylor [4], I. Kh. Musin [5], [6] and others. In particular, the problem of polynomial approximation in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  was studied in [5], [6] in the case when  $\varphi_m(x) = \varphi(x) - m \ln(1 + \|x\|)$ ,  $\varphi$  is a real function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for some  $C > 0, D \in \mathbb{R}$   $\varphi(x) \geq C\|x\|^\mu - D, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Now under minimal conditions on weight functions and on differences between weight functions we study this problem again.

Set for compactness  $\theta_m(x) = \exp(\varphi_m(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a function  $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{x} = +\infty \quad (1)$$

let  $u^*(x) = \sup_{y \geq 0} (xy - u(y)), u(e)(x) = u(e^x), x \geq 0$ . Note that  $u^*(x) < \infty$

on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u^*(x)}{x} = +\infty$ .

The following useful lemma holds. Its proof is elementary.

**Lemma.** *Let a lower semi-continuous function  $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy (1). Then*

$$(u(e))^*(x) + (u^*(e))^*(x) \leq x \ln x - x, x > 0.$$

**Theorem 1.** *The polynomials are dense in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ .*

**Proof.** The beginnig of the proof is the same as the one in the proof of Lemma 6 in [6].

Let  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ , that is,  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $c_m > 0$  such that

$$|D^\alpha f(x)| \leq c_m \theta_m(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq m. \quad (2)$$

Let us approximate  $f$  by polynomials in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ . There are three steps in the proof.

1. For  $r > 0$  let  $\Pi_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_j| < r, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . We consider a function  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{supp } \chi \subseteq [-2, 2], \chi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [-1, 1], 0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We set  $\eta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \chi(x_1)\chi(x_2) \cdots \chi(x_n)$ . Let  $f_\nu(x) = f(x)\eta(\frac{x}{\nu}), \nu \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Obviously,  $f_\nu \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ . We claim that

$f_\nu \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_\nu(x) - f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \leq \sup_{x \notin \Pi_\nu} \frac{|f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \leq \sup_{x \notin \Pi_\nu} \frac{c_{m+1} \theta_{m+1}(x)}{\theta_m(x)}.$$

Hence, as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_\nu(x) - f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Now <sup>1</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{|D^\alpha(f_\nu(x) - f(x))|}{\theta_m(x)} = \\ & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{\left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| < |\alpha|} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} (D^\beta f)(x) \nu^{|\beta| - |\alpha|} (D^{\alpha - \beta} \eta)\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) + (D^\alpha f)(x) (\eta\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) - 1) \right|}{\theta_m(x)} \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \Pi_{2\nu} \setminus \Pi_\nu, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| < |\alpha|} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} \nu^{|\beta| - |\alpha|} |(D^\beta f)(x)| |(D^{\alpha - \beta} \eta)\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right)|}{\theta_m(x)} + \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \notin \Pi_\nu, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{|(D^\alpha f)(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using inequality (2) we conclude that as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{|D^\alpha(f_\nu(x) - f(x))|}{\theta_m(x)} \rightarrow 0.$$

It now follows by (3) that  $q_m(f_\nu - f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  can be arbitrary this means that the sequence  $(f_\nu)_{\nu=1}^\infty$  converges to  $f$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

2. Fix  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $h$  be an entire function (not identical zero) of exponential type at most 1 such that  $h \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For example, we can take  $h(z) = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{z}{2}}{z^2}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $H(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = h(z_1)h(z_2) \cdots h(z_n)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>For two multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  the notation  $\beta \leq \alpha$  indicates that  $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

By the Paley-Wiener theorem ([7], Chapter 6) we can find a positive constant  $C_H > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

$$|(D^\alpha H)(x)| \leq C_H, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(x) dx = A$ . For  $\lambda > 1$  we now set

$$f_{\nu,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\nu(y) H(\lambda(x-y)) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Obviously,  $f_{\nu,\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ . Let us show that  $f_{\nu,\lambda} \rightarrow f_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We consider arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $r(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{2n}{2n+1}}$ . For arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} (D^\alpha f_{\nu,\lambda})(x) - (D^\alpha f_\nu)(x) &= \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((D^\alpha f_\nu)(y) - (D^\alpha f_\nu)(x)) H(\lambda(x-y)) dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\|y-x\| \leq r(\lambda)} ((D^\alpha f_\nu)(y) - (D^\alpha f_\nu)(x)) H(\lambda(x-y)) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\|y-x\| > r(\lambda)} ((D^\alpha f_\nu)(y) - (D^\alpha f_\nu)(x)) H(\lambda(x-y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the terms on the right-hand side of the last equality by  $I_{1,\alpha}(x)$  and  $I_{2,\alpha}(x)$ , respectively. Let  $K_{\nu,m} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\beta| \leq m+1} |(D^\beta f_\nu)(x)|$ . Then elementary estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq m} |I_{1,\alpha}(x)| &\leq \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n} C_H K_{\nu,m}}{A \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2n+1}}; \\ \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq m} |I_{2,\alpha}(x)| &\leq \frac{2C_H K_{\nu,m}}{A} \int_{\|u\| > \lambda^{\frac{1}{2n+1}}} H(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these two inequalities that

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq m} |(D^\alpha f_{\nu,\lambda})(x) - (D^\alpha f_\nu)(x)| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence,  $q_m(f_{\nu,\lambda} - f_\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ . Now, since  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $f_{\nu,\lambda} \rightarrow f_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ .

3. We now fix  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  and approximate  $f_{\nu,\lambda}$  by polynomials in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ .

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$U_N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{\sum_{|\alpha|=k} (D^\alpha H)(0) x^\alpha}{k!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since

$$H(x) = U_N(x) + \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \frac{(D^\alpha H)(tx) x^\alpha}{(N+1)!},$$

where  $t$  is a point in  $(0, 1)$  depending on  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows with the help of inequality (4) that

$$|H(x) - U_N(x)| \leq \frac{C_H (N+2)^n \|x\|^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}. \quad (5)$$

Let  $R > 0$  be such that  $\text{supp } f_\nu \subset \Pi_R$ . Then we set

$$V_N(x) = \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\Pi_R} f_\nu(y) U_N(\lambda(x-y)) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is obvious that  $V_N$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $N$ . We claim that the sequence  $(V_N)_{N=1}^\infty$  converges to  $f_{\nu,\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary. For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(D^\alpha f_{\nu,\lambda})(x) - (D^\alpha V_N)(x) = \frac{\lambda^n}{A} \int_{\Pi_R} (D^\alpha f_\nu)(y) (H(\lambda(x-y)) - U_N(\lambda(x-y))) dy.$$

Hence with the use of inequality (5) we find from elementary estimates positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq m$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|(D^\alpha f_{\nu,\lambda})(x) - (D^\alpha V_N)(x)| \leq \frac{C_1 C_2^N (1 + \|x\|)^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}.$$

Thus, for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$q_m(f_{\nu,\lambda} - V_N) \leq \frac{C_1 C_2^N}{(N+1)!} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1 + \|x\|)^{N+1}}{\theta_m(x)}.$$

Let  $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1\}$ . For each  $\sigma \in S^{n-1}$  let  $\varphi_{m,\sigma}(t) = \varphi_m(\sigma t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

Making elementary computations and using Lemma we get for some positive constants  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  not depending on  $N$

$$q_m(f_{\nu,\lambda} - V_N) \leq \frac{C_3 C_4^N}{(N+1)!} \frac{(N+1)^{N+1}}{\exp(\inf_{\sigma \in S^{n-1}} (\varphi_{m,\sigma}^*(e^t))^*(N+1))}.$$

Using Stirling formula and the fact that uniformly by  $\sigma \in S^{n-1}$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{m,\sigma}^*(e^t))^*(N+1)}{N+1} = +\infty$$

we conclude that  $q_m(f_{\nu,\lambda} - V_N) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

The density of polynomials in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  follows from steps 1) – 3).

2. *On strong dual for  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  in case  $n = 1$  and special  $\varphi$ .* Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be a family of convex functions  $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

1.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi_m(x)}{|x|} = +\infty$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
2.  $\varphi_m(x) - \varphi_{m+1}(x) \geq \ln(1 + |x|)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_m(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (xy - \varphi(y))$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $Q(\tilde{\varphi}) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Q(\tilde{\varphi}_m)$ , where

$$Q(\tilde{\varphi}_m) = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : N_m(f) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{|f(z)|}{(1 + |z|)^m \exp(\tilde{\varphi}_m(\operatorname{Im} z))} < \infty \right\}.$$

We endow  $Q(\tilde{\varphi})$  with the inductive limit topology of the normed spaces  $Q(\tilde{\varphi}_m)$ .

For a functional  $F \in \mathcal{E}'(\varphi)$  let  $\hat{F}(\lambda) = F(e^{-i\lambda x})$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}^*(\varphi)$  be the strong dual space for  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ .

Using Theorem 1 and results of [5] (in particular, Theorem 2) we immediately get

**Theorem 2.** *The map  $\mathcal{A} : F \in \mathcal{E}^*(\varphi) \rightarrow \hat{F}$  establishes topological isomorphism of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}^*(\varphi)$  and  $Q(\tilde{\varphi})$ .*

3. *On strong dual for a weighted space of sequences of functions.* On a base of  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  we construct a weighted space of sequences of infinitely differentiable functions. Let  $\{(c_k^{(m)})_{k=1}^\infty\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be a family of sequences  $(c_k^{(m)})_{k=1}^\infty$  of positive numbers  $c_k^{(m)}$  such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$   $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{c_k^{(m)}}{c_k^{(m+1)}} = K_m < \infty$ .

Now for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the normed space

$$T_m = \left\{ f = (f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots), f_k \in C^m(\mathbb{R}) : p_m(f) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k^{(m)} q_m(f_k) < \infty \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $T_{m+1} \subset T_m$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $T = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty T_m$ . The linear space  $T$  is equipped with the topology of projective limit of the spaces  $T_m$ . Let  $T'$  be the space of linear continuous functionals on  $T$ ,  $T^*$  be the strong dual for  $T$ .

Let  $R_m$  be a closure  $T$  in  $T_m$ .  $R_m$  is considered with the topology induced from  $T_m$ . Then  $T$  is a projective limit of the spaces  $R_m$  and  $T^*$  is an inductive limit of the spaces  $R_m^*$ .

Here we describe the space  $T^*$  in terms of the Fourier-Laplace transform of functionals as some weighted space of sequences of entire functions.

3.1. *On the weighted space  $T$  of sequences of infinitely differentiable functions.* It is easy to show that the embeddings  $\iota_{m+1,m} : T_{m+1} \rightarrow T_m$  are continuous for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, the embeddings  $\iota_{m+1,m} : T_{m+1} \rightarrow T_m$  are completely continuous for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Really, let  $B_r = \{f \in T_{m+1} : p_{m+1}(f) \leq r\}$ ,  $r > 0$ , be the ball in  $T_{m+1}$ . Consider the set  $\mathcal{B}_r$  of all  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots) \in T_{m+1}$  such that  $q_{m+1}(f_k) < (K_m c_k^{(m)})^{-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{B}_r \subset B_r$ . As we know for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the space  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{m+1})$  is completely continuously embedded into the space  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$  [1]. Thus, the set  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{m+1}) : q_{m+1}(u) < 1\}$  is relatively compact in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$ . So one can extract a sequence  $(u_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ ,  $u_j \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , convergent in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$  to some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi_m)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Put  $f_{j,k}(x) = u_j(x)(K_m c_k^{(m+1)})^{-1}$ ,  $f_{0,k}(x) = u_0(x)(K_m c_k^{(m+1)})^{-1}$ ,  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $f_j = (f_{j,1}, \dots, f_{j,k}, \dots)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_0 = (f_{0,1}, \dots, f_{0,k}, \dots)$ . Then  $f_j \in B_r$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$p_m(f_j - f_0) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{c_k^{(m)} q_m(u_j - u_0)}{K_m c_k^{(m+1)}} \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, the sequence  $(f_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  converges in  $T_m$  to  $f_0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the space  $T$  is an  $(M^*)$ -space.

**3.2. Representation of a linear continuous functional on  $T$ .** Let  $F \in T'$ . Then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c > 0$  such that  $|F(f)| \leq cp_m(f)$ ,  $f \in T$ . Define the functional  $F_k$  on  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  by the rule  $F_k(u) = F(u_k)$ , where  $u_k$  is an element of  $T$  with  $u$  on  $k$ -th place and zero other components,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$|F_k(u)| \leq cc_k^{(m)} q_m(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi). \quad (6)$$

Further, for  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in T$  let  $f_j = (f_1, \dots, f_j, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $T$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,  $F(f_j) \rightarrow F(f)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,

$$F(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j(f_j), \quad f \in T. \quad (7)$$

**3.3. Some notations and definitions.** For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  let  $(e^{-i\lambda x})_k$  be the sequence with  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  on  $k$ -th place and zero other components,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For a functional  $F \in T'$  let  $\hat{F} = (\hat{F}_1, \dots, \hat{F}_k, \dots)$ , where  $\hat{F}_k(\lambda) = F((e^{-i\lambda x})_k)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Each function  $\hat{F}_k$  is an entire function [5].

For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\begin{aligned} P_m &= \{g = (g_k)_{k=1}^\infty, g_k \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|g\|_m = \\ &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{|g_k(\lambda)|}{c_k^{(m)} (1 + |\lambda|)^m \exp(\tilde{\varphi}_m(Im \lambda))} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $P = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m$ . The linear space  $P$  is equipped with the topology of inductive limit of the spaces  $P_m$ . It is not difficult to show that  $P$  is an  $(LN^*)$ -space (for definition of  $(LN^*)$ -space see [2]).

**3.4. Description of  $T^*$ .** The following result holds.

**Theorem 3.** *Let functions  $\varphi_m$  be convex,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the transformation  $\mathcal{F} : S \in T^* \rightarrow \hat{S}$  establishes a topological isomorphism between the spaces  $T^*$  and  $P$ .*

**Proof.** Note that if  $S \in T^*$  then for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$   $S \in R_m^*$ .



Let  $F \in R_m^*$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $|F(f)| \leq N_m(F)p_m(f)$ ,  $f \in R_m$ , where  $N_m(F)$  is a norm of functional  $F$  in  $R_m^*$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\hat{F}_k(\lambda)| \leq N_m(F)c_k^{(m)}(1 + |\lambda|)^m \exp(\varphi_m^*(Im \lambda)), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus,  $\|\hat{F}\|_m \leq N_m(F)$ ,  $F \in R_m^*$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that the linear map  $\mathcal{F}$  acts from  $T^*$  to  $P$  continuously.

The linear map  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective. For proving it is sufficient to verify that the system of sequences  $(x^\alpha)_k$  where  $x^\alpha$  is on  $k$ -th place and other components are zero ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is complete in  $T$ . Actually, let  $F \in T'$  be such that  $F((x^\alpha)_k) = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the representation of the form (7) we have for a linear continuous functional  $F_k$  on  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$  that  $F_k(x^\alpha) = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . But then  $F_k \equiv 0$ , since polynomials are dense in  $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ .

The map  $\mathcal{F}$  is surjective. Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k, \dots) \in P$ . This means that  $g_k$  are entire functions which satisfy for some  $c > 0$  the estimate

$$|g_k(\lambda)| \leq cc_k^{(m)}(1 + |\lambda|)^m \exp(\tilde{\varphi}_m(Im \lambda)), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By theorem 2 in [5] (see the proof there, we use the second condition on functions  $\varphi_k$ ) there exist functionals  $G_k \in \mathcal{E}'(\varphi)$  and a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that  $G_k(e^{-ix\lambda}) = g_k(\lambda)$  and

$$|G_k(u)| \leq c_1 cc_k^{(m)} q_{m+2,2}(u), u \in \mathcal{E}(\varphi).$$

We define the functional  $G$  on  $T$  by the rule:

$$G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k(f_k), f = (f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots) \in T.$$

The series in the right-hand side converges:

$$|G(f)| \leq c_1 c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^{(m)} q_{m+2}(f_k) = c_1 c p_{m+2}(f), f \in T.$$

Also from this estimate it follows that the linear functional  $G$  is continuous. Obviously,  $\hat{G} = g$ .

Now the assertion of Theorem 3 follows due the open mapping theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. V.V. Zharinov, *Compact families of LCS and spaces FS and DFS*, Uspekhi matematicheskikh nauk. 34 (1979), pp. 97-131.
2. J. Sebastiao e Silva, *Su certe classi di spazi localmente convessi importanti per le applicazioni*, Rend. Mat. e Appl. 14 (1955).
3. L. Ehrenpreis, *Fourier analysis in several complex variables*, New York: Wiley-Interscience publishers, 1970.
4. B. A. Taylor, *Analytically uniform spaces of infinitely differentiable functions*, Communications on pure and applied mathematics. 24 (1971), no. 1, pp. 39-51.
5. I. Kh. Musin, *Fourier-Laplace transformation of functionals on a weighted space of infinitely differentiable functions*, Matematicheskii Sbornik. 191 (2000), no. 10, pp. 57-86.
6. I. Kh. Musin. *Fourier-Laplace transformation of functionals on a weighted space of infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . Matematicheskii Sbornik, 195 (2004), no. 10, pp. 83-108.
7. P. Koosis. *Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge. 1980

Institute of mathematics with computer center of Ufa scientific center of  
 Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernyshevskii st., 112, Ufa, Russia  
 e-mail: musin@imat.rb.ru